DAYTIME RESTING ACTIVITY OF *AEDES AEGYPTI* AND *CULEX QUINQUEFASCIATUS* POPULATIONS IN NORTHERN MEXICO

LIHUA WEI,¹ NADIA A. FERNÁNDEZ-SANTOS,^{1,2} GABRIEL L. HAMER,² EDGAR E. LARA-RAMÍREZ¹ and MARIO A. RODRÍGUEZ-PÉREZ^{1,3}

ABSTRACT. Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus are disease vectors distributed throughout much of the world and are responsible for a high burden of vector-borne disease, which has increased during the last 2 decades. Most pathogens vectored by these mosquitoes do not have therapeutic remedies; thus, combating these diseases is dependent upon vector control. Improvements in vector control strategies are urgently needed, but these hinge on understanding the biology and ecology of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. Both species have been extensively investigated, but further knowledge on diel resting activity of these vectors can improve vector surveillance and control tools for targeting resting vector populations. From April to December 2021, we determined outdoor daytime resting habits of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* male, female, and blood-fed female populations in Reynosa, Mexico, using large red odor-baited wooden box traps. The daytime resting activity for *Ae. aegypti* males, females, and blood-fed females was restricted to a period between 0900 h and 1300 h, with a peak at 0900 h, while the resting activity of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* male, female, and blood-fed females was between 0700 h and 1100 h, with a peak at 0700 h. A generalized additive model was developed to relate relative humidity and temperature to resting *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *Ae. aegypti* male, female, and blood-fed populations caught in traps. This study advances the understanding of outdoor resting behavior for 2 important vector mosquito species and discusses future studies to fill additional knowledge gaps.

KEY WORDS Aedes aegypti, Culex quinquefasciatus, daytime behavior, red box traps, resting behavior

INTRODUCTION

Mosquito-borne diseases are a major public health concern causing millions of deaths annually (Chaiphongpachara et al. 2018). Aedes aegypti (L.) is the most important global vector of arboviruses, such as dengue, chikungunya, Zika, and yellow fever (Campos et al. 2020). Although Ae. albopictus (Skuse) has been reported in Mexico (Dávalos-Becerril et al. 2019), its role as an arbovirus vector is limited (Lambrechts et al. 2010, Vasilakis et al. 2011, Mousson et al. 2012). Members of the Culex quinquefasciatus Say complex are the primary vectors of West Nile virus (WNV) throughout much of the tropical and temperate regions of the world (del Carpio-Orantes et al. 2018). In addition, they are vectors of St Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV) (Diaz et al. 2013), Usutu virus (Clé et al. 2019), and the filarial nematode Wuchereria bancrofti (Cobbold) (Ant et al. 2020).

The control of mosquito-borne viruses in Mexico and other countries receives considerable resources but returning limited success as severe outbreaks persist in tropical endemic countries worldwide including nonendemic countries such as chikungunya in Italy during 2007 and dengue in France and Croatia during 2010 (Gould et al. 2010, GjeneroMargan et al. 2011, Poletti et al. 2011, Lwande et al. 2020). In 2020 the Mexican Ministry of Health reported 24,313 cases of dengue, 2,281 of which occurred in Tamaulipas. From 2015 to November 2022, 13,003 confirmed cases of Zika were reported in Mexico, of which 802 cases occurred in Tamaulipas (Mexico Ministry of Health 2022). The persistent transmission is in part, due to a lack of effective vaccines and development of insecticide resistance in vectors populations. Thus, effective vector control needs to be built on detailed knowledge of mosquito population ecology and behavior that has spatio-temporal heterogeneity (Wilke et al. 2019).

Many studies have addressed the resting behavior of Ae. aegypti and Cx. quinquefasciatus. However, most of them were focused on the indoor or outdoor resting sites (Hecht and Hernandez-Corzo 1963, Schoof 1967, Kay 1983, Kittayapong et al. 1997, Perich et al. 2000, Chadee 2013, Dzul-Manzanilla et al. 2017, Diallo and Diallo 2020, Machani et al. 2020, Diouf et al. 2021, Dalpadado et al. 2022, Janaki et al. 2022), resting behavior associated with residual insecticides (Bøgh et al. 1998, Cooperband and Allan 2009, Manda et al. 2011), and insecticide treatment (Pates and Curtis 2005, Tainchum et al. 2013). Very few studies have looked at the peak daytime resting activity of Ae. aegypti and Cx. quinquefasciatus male and female populations. To our knowledge, the only study about the diel resting activity of mosquitoes was by Gjullin et al. (1963) in California. There mosquitoes moved out of resting boxes around sunset and returned around sunrise.

¹ Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Centro de Biotecnología Genómica, Cd. Reynosa 88710, Tamaulipas, Mexico.

² Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-2475.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Mosquito traps baited with color and odor are widely used to collect or control mosquito populations. The red box trap for resting mosquitoes has been used for *Ae. aegypti* (Edman et al. 1997, Kittayapong et al. 1997), which are known to select resting sites based on visual cues such as color (Manda et al. 2011). *Aedes aegypti* is primarily anthropophilic in host utilization, while *Cx. quinque-fasciatus* is primarily ornithophilic, respectively; however, in Reynosa, Mexico, and the Rio Grande valley of Texas, both species were reported to feed more frequently on dogs than on humans (Olson et al. 2020). This is probably due to a high availability of domestic dogs in many low- to medium-income communities in this region.

Here odor-baited wooden box traps painted red were used to study the daytime resting activity of outdoor of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* male and female populations. A model was also developed to relate relative humidity (RH) and temperature to each vector resting population. This protocol can help guide the monitoring of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* resting populations for further studies such as those reported elsewhere (Estrada-Franco et al. 2020) and can greatly improve the effectiveness of mosquito control programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site: This study was carried out from April to December 2021 on the Instituto Politecnico Nacional-Centro de Biotecnologia Genomica campus (IPN-CBG) located in Reynosa, Tamaulipas, northern Mexico (26°4'10.28"N, 98°18'48.53"W; Fig. 1A-C). Reynosa is an industrialized city, which is endemic to dengue in a semiarid climate with 2 marked annual seasons. Winters are short, mild (temperature between 11 and 22°C), and dry, lasting 3 months, from December to February. Summers extend from May to August and very hot with temperatures often exceeding 40°C. Reynosa is 33 m above sea level and has an annual rainfall of 452 mm. Resting traps were placed under trees where many birds roosted and people rarely traversed. The east and south of the campus are adjacent to residential areas, and most of them have dogs or cats at home (Fig. 1D).

Mosquito collection: One wooden box trap painted red on the interior walls (Fig. 2) with a size of 120 cm \times 90 cm \times 90 cm was placed in 3 collection points around the IPN-CBG campus (Fig. 1D). Three traps were placed in the shade of trees on the institute campus. One Centers for Disease Control and Prevention autocidal gravid ovitrap (AGO) with hay infusion made by steeping 30 g of hay packet in 10 l of tap water for 7 days (Barrera et al. 2014) was placed inside the red wooden box to use as bait. On the top, AGO traps have a screen barrier to prevent any mosquitoes from emerging inside (Barrera et al. 2014). Mosquitoes were collected from April 21 to December 15, 2021, 11 days per month on average, every 2 hours from 0700 h to 1900 h, using Improved Prockopack Aspirators (John W. Hock Co., Gainesville, Florida). Time intervals are chosen to allow for collection and identification. Upon collection, mosquitoes were anesthetized in a -20°C freezer for 5 min, then transferred to a Petri dish and put on a chill table (1429 Chill Table, BioQuip, Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). Identification was carried out using morphological characters as described in the taxonomic keys by Burkett-Cadena (2013). Upon identification, the number of males, females, and blood-fed females of each species was recorded. After recording, mosquitoes were recovered at room temperature for 30 min before releasing them in a central location on the campus, at an average of 88 mosquitoes from 3 resting boxes (Fig. 1D). Temperature and RH reading were recorded, using an Xiaomi sensor (Mi temperature and humidity monitor 2, Xiaomi, Beijing, China) placed at one of the 3 red wooden box traps.

Statistical analysis: Descriptive statistics based on raw counts for the 107 days of data collection of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* males, females, and blood-fed females were carried out using the R with ggplot2 package. Data were inspected graphically through boxplots that revealed dispersion by means of interquartile range (IQR).

A generalized additive model (GAM) was used to relate temperature and RH to ln(total number + 1) of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* males, females, and blood-fed females caught hourly at each sampling point using an identity link function with Gaussian distribution. Generalized additive models have been successfully used to explore nonlinear relationship between environmental variables and mosquito populations (Xu et al. 2017). The model equation used was as follows:

$$\ln(\mathbf{Y}_t) = \beta + \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{C}_t) + \varepsilon_t,$$

where (Y_t) is the number of mosquitoes collected each hour t, C is the environmental variable, β is the intercept, $s(\cdot)$ denotes a spline function to avoid overfitting, and ε are the residuals (Liu et al. 2020).

RESULTS

The total counts of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinque-fasciatus* using 3 red wooden box traps at 7 daytime intervals (0700, 0900, 1100, 1300, 1500, 1700, and 1900) are shown in Table 1. A total of 5,327 *Ae. aegypti*, 6859 *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, 108 *Ae. albopictus*, and fewer than 10 unidentified mosquito specimens were collected. Of all the *Ae. aegypti* sampled, 84.3% were males; of all the female *Ae. aegypti* sampled, 12.3% were blood-fed females. Of the 6859 *Cx. quinquefasciatus* sampled, 50.0% were males; and of all the female *Cx. quinquefasciatus* sampled, 49.2% were blood-fed.

The daytime resting activity of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* males, females, and blood-fed



Fig. 1. Map of location of Tamaulipas state (A), Reynosa (B), IPN-CBG campus and study area (C), and red wooden resting box traps (D), 2021. The map was generated using ArcGIS Pro (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA, USA) and Google Earth Pro (Google, USA). Free geographic (GIS) data of administrative areas of Mexico was downloaded from DIVA-GIS (https://www.diva-gis.org/gdata).

159



Fig. 2. Wooden resting box painted red on the inside used for collecting mosquitoes in Reynosa, Mexico, from April to December 2021.

females are shown in Fig. 3. *Aedes aegypti* males' resting activity peaked at 0900 h, with a secondary peak at 1100 h; the lowest collection hour was at 1900 (Fig. 3A). For *Ae. aegypti* females and blood-fed females, almost equal numbers of mosquitoes were obtained between 0900 h and 1100 h. The lowest collection hour of *Ae. aegypti* females was at 1900 h, and the lowest collection hour of *Ae. aegypti* blood-fed females was at 1500 h.

For *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (Fig. 3B), the highest peak collection hour for males, females, and blood-fed females was 0700 h, and the lowest peak collection hour was at 1700 h. At peak resting (0900 h) for *Ae. aegypti* males, females, and blood-fed females, the average temperature and RH were $25.4 \pm 0.34^{\circ}$ C and $84.1 \pm 0.61^{\circ}$, respectively. At peak resting (0700 h) for *Cx. quinquefasciatus* males, females, and blood-fed females, the average temperature and RH were 24.2 \pm 0.31°C and 83.3 \pm 0.63%, respectively.

The association between temperature and RH and *Ae. aegypti* male, female, and blood-fed female mosquitoes analyzed with GAM is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 4. *Aedes aegypti* male, female, and blood-fed female mosquitoes had weak positive correlation with humidity (adjusted $R^2 = 0.0712$, P < 0.001;

adjusted $R^2 = 0.0301$, P < 0.001; adjusted $R^2 = 0.0162$, P < 0.001, respectively) and temperature (adjusted $R^2 = 0.122$, P < 0.001; adjusted $R^2 = 0.0389$, P < 0.001; adjusted $R^2 = 0.0186$, P < 0.05, respectively).

The association between temperature and RH and Cx. quinquefasciatus male, female, and blood-fed female mosquitoes analyzed with GAM is depicted in Table 2 and Fig. 5. Culex quinquefasciatus males, female, and blood-fed females had a positive association with RH (adjusted $R^2 = 0.406$, P < 0.4060.001; adjusted $R^2 = 0.441$, P < 0.001; adjusted $R^2 =$ 0.347, P < 0.001, respectively), and a negative association with temperature (adjusted $R^2 = 0.363$, P < 0.001; adjusted $R^2 = 0.325$, P < 0.001; adjusted R^2 = 0.247, P < 0.001, respectively). Increasing number of Cx. quinquefasciatus were observed when RH ranged from 25% to 84%; however, the mosquito numbers started to decrease as RH exceeded 84%. Therefore, RH recorded during our mosquito sampling had an effect on the number of Cx. quinquefasciatus caught daily in the red wooden box traps in Reynosa, Mexico. We also observed increasing numbers of Cx. quinquefasciatus when the temperature ranged from 22 to 26°C; however, mosquito numbers dropped sharply when the temperature went over 26°C.

DISCUSSION

We present the daytime resting activity of Ae. aegypti and Cx. quinquefasciatus males, females, and blood-fed females and perform a GAM to relate RH and temperature to these 2 species of mosquitoes in Reynosa, Mexico. Temporally, males, females, and blood-fed females of both species, Ae. agypti and Cx. quinquefasciatus, preferred resting in the red wooden box traps at 0900 h and 0700 h, respectively. However, no large numbers of blood-fed Ae. aegvpti females were collected at any hour point of the day. We suspect 1) the odor in traps was not attractive for Ae. aegypti females, 2) the vertebrate host utilization and flight range of Ae. aegypti were different as compared with Cx. quinquefasciatus, and 3) the location of traps was farther from Ae. aegypti hosts compared to Cx. quinquefasciatus hosts. In this study

Table 1. Total collection by hour for *Aedes aegypt*i and *Culex quinquefasciatus* from wooden resting boxes in Reynosa, Mexico from April to December 2021.¹

Time (h)	Ae. aegypti				Cx. quinquefasciatus				Mean temn	Mean PH
	Total	Males	Females	Blood-fed	Total	Males	Females	Blood-fed	$^{\circ}C \pm SE$	$\% \pm SE$
0700	414	327	87	18	4,757	2,536	2,221	1,113	24.2 ± 0.31	83.3 ± 0.63
0900	1,303	1,134	169	22	1,185	523	662	291	25.4 ± 0.34	84.1 ± 0.61
1100	1,545	1,343	202	26	338	148	190	94	29.0 ± 0.34	72.7 ± 0.67
1300	1,012	871	140	15	184	64	120	65	30.9 ± 0.34	64.5 ± 0.78
1500	493	384	109	8	127	54	73	39	32.1 ± 0.35	60.0 ± 0.92
1700	351	278	74	6	92	41	51	16	31.4 ± 0.36	61.4 ± 0.11
1900	209	152	57	8	176	62	114	70	29.5 ± 0.40	67.2 ± 0.11

¹ Temp.: temperature, RH: relative humidity, SE: standard error.



Fig. 3. Daytime resting pattern of male, female, and blood-fed female *Aedes aegypti* (A) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (B) in Reynosa, Mexico, from April to December 2021. The upper and the lower line of each plot box shows the IQR, which is a measure of statistical dispersion. The black points outside the box show the outliers, and the black line inside each box is the median of each collection of each hour.

Table 2. The influence of climatic factors (temperature and relative humidity) on the numbers of <i>Aedes aegyptic</i>	i and <i>Culex</i>
quinquefasciatus males, females and blood-fed females collected at hour intervals in wooden resting boxes in	n Reynosa,
Mexico, from April to December 2021, using a GAM univariate analysis. ¹	-

Sample	Smoothing effect	Est. df	Ref. df	<i>F</i> -value	<i>P</i> -value	Adj. R ²	Deviance (%)
Cx. quinquefasciatus male	S(Temperature)	6.865	7.939	53.67	<2e-16 ***	0.363	36.8
	S(RH)	7.54	8.371	61.3	<2e-16 ***	0.406	41.2
Cx. quinquefasciatus female	S(Temperature)	7.424	8.371	43.24	<2e-16 ***	0.325	33.2
	S(RH)	7.308	8.206	72	<2e-16 ***	0.441	44.6
Cx. quinquefasciatus blood-fed	S(Temperature)	7.447	8.387	29.4	<2e-16 ***	0.247	25.5
	S(RH)	7.06	8.017	49.78	<2e-16 ***	0.347	35.3
Ae. aegypti male	S(Temperature)	4.728	5.797	18.16	<2e-16 ***	0.122	12.8
	S(RH)	2.954	3.751	15.37	<2e-16 ***	0.0712	7.48
Ae. aegypti female	S(Temperature) S(RH)	3.419	4.277	7.308 24.16	6.66e-06 *** 1.46e-06 ***	0.0389	4.33 3.14
Ae. aegypti blood-fed	S(Temperature)	3.08	3.868	3.961	0.00466**	0.0186	2.26
	S(RH)	1	1	13.28	0.000287***	0.0162	1.75

1 * * * P < 0.001, * P < 0.01 level, Adj. R^2 : adjusted R square, Est. df: estimated degrees of freedom, Est. df >1 indicates nonlinear relationships, Ref. df: reference degrees of freedom.



Fig. 4. The relationships of the effects of humidity and temperature on number of *Aedes aegypti* males (A and D), females (B and E), and blood-fed females (C and F) caught in wooden resting traps in Reynosa, Mexico, from April to December 2021. The x-axis is the collected meteorological data, and the y-axis indicates the smoothing components for counts of mosquitos to the fitted values. The gray area represents the 95% confidence interval. This analysis was carried out in R (version 4.2.2) with the mgcv package.



Fig. 5. The relationships of the effects of humidity and temperature on number of *Culex quinquefasciatus* males (A and D), females (B and E), and blood-fed females (C and F) caught in wooden resting traps in Reynosa, Mexico, from April to December 2021. The x-axis is the collected meteorological data, and the y-axis indicates the smoothing components for counts of mosquitos to the fitted values. The gray area represents the 95% confidence interval. This analysis was carried out in R (version 4.2.2) with the mgcv package.

we used hay infusions as odor attractants. Hay infusion has been shown to attract gravid Ae. aegvpti females (Ponnusamy et al. 2010), which did not agree with our result. It is possible that the ratio of hay to water and age of the infusion water could vary in composition and volatiles among the studies. Dormont et al. (2021) reviewed mosquito attractants and noted various plant odors attractive to Ae. aegypti, which could be tested with our resting box trap in the future. Aedes aegypti females generally fly 100-500 m (McDonald 1977, Trpis and Hausermann 1986, Juarez et al. 2020). The mean distance traveled per day by female Ae. aegypti was only 16.8 m and 24.7 m for indoor and outdoor release, respectively, and the maximum overall distance per day was 160 m (Muir and Kay 1998). For Cx. quinquefasciatus, we found a large number of males, females, and bloodfed females resting inside the traps. This can be explained as Cx. quinquefasciatus, a member of the Cx. pipiens complex, has a maximum flight range from <1.0 to 2.1 km, with a mean distance between 1.27 km and 1.64 km in 36 h (Fussell 1964, Lindquist et al. 1967, Schreiber et al. 1988, Lapointe 2008, Medeiros et al. 2017). Studies investigating the feeding patterns of Cx. quinquefasciatus found that they fed primarily on birds, while in many areas of the world, while Ae. aegypti is considered anthropophilic, feeding mostly on humans (Sallam et al. 2017, Telang and Skinner 2019, Estrada-Franco et al. 2020, Fikrig and Harrington 2021). Our resting boxes were placed near the trees, which could have been locations of roosting birds. After feeding, Ae. aegypti are endophilic and mainly rest inside human dwellings (Diallo and Diallo 2020). A study in Panama found that a low percentage of resting Ae. aegypti (24.7%) was found outdoors, while a higher percentage of resting Ae. aegypti (75.1%) was found indoors. Janaki et al. (2022) found a higher proportion of resting Ae. aegypti females was caught indoors (88%) than outdoors (12%).

Casas Martinez et al. (2013) monitored the daily activity of *Ae. aegypti* males and females using their

newly designed BioDiVector tent traps in Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico. They found that Ae. aegypti showed 2 peaks of activity (morning and afternoon) at extra-domicile sites. Aedes aegypti female activity was higher between 0600 and 1000 h in the morning and 1600 to 1800 h in the afternoon. The activity of Ae. aegypti males was higher between 0800 and 1000 h in the morning and 1600 to 1800 h in the afternoon. Captain-Esoah et al. (2020) reported host-seeking Ae. *aegypti* in northern Ghana with bimodal peaks between 0600 to 0800 and 1500 to 1600 h. These results do not completely correspond to our resting peak results, which may be due to the different type of traps used, hour of collection or geographic features, and environmental variations. Mutebi et al. (2022) conducted a study about the diel activity patterns of host-seeking Ae. aegypti females in Brownsville, Texas. They found Ae. aegypti females had 2 peaks of diel biting activities, between 0700 and 0800 h and between 1900 and 2000 h. In our study the resting period of Ae. aegypti male, female, and blood-fed females was between 0900 and 1300 h. These corroborative results of Ae. aegypti populations on both sides of the USA-Mexico border suggest that individuals seek resting habitat later in the morning following a peak in host-seeking activity.

Gjullin et al. (1963) conducted a study about the daily resting cycles of *Culex* species using red box shelter units and found that the daily resting period of Cx. pipiens and Culex tarsalis extended from 0800 h to sunset, leaving the box after sunset and returning before sunrise, as *Culex* species are often crepuscular in host-seeking behavior. This result is in accordance with our findings as we have noted a unimodal clear peak at 0700 h; it is at that time in the morning when we captured *Culex* species that were done hostseeking and then ready to rest for the rest of the day. To investigate whether mosquitoes rested at night in our red wooden box traps, we inspected the traps during 3 nights from 1900 to 0500 h (data not shown), and no resting mosquitoes were found as reported elsewhere (Zuharah and Sumayyah 2019). As previously mentioned, Cx. quinquefasciatus may rest close to hosts at night given their crepuscular feeding behavior. Karlekar and Andrew (2016) found that Cx. quinquefasciatus were feeding at dusk (1800 to 2000 h) and resting on the roof of cattle sheds and grain storage, while during the daytime, they preferred resting near water tanks and water puddles.

This GAM analysis helps to explain daytime resting behavior of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. Some discrepancies in temperature and RH were observed between *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. For *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, temperature and RH were associated with their resting behavior but not with the resting pattern of *Ae. aegypti*. The GAMs have been used to indicate the distribution and abundance of mosquito larvae in residential areas (Heersink et al. 2016), to evaluate different mosquito collection methods (Câmara et al. 2022), and to

analyze the relationship between climate variables with mosquito density (Xu et al. 2017). To our knowledge, no studies have used GAMs to explain the relationship between environmental factors and mosquito daytime resting patterns. However, due to the univariate model construction, this model cannot fully explain the relationship between the environmental factors and the resting behavior of those mosquito species. Adding more variables could better refine the model for interpretation.

Here the resting pattern for the 2 species of mosquitoes peaked at high RH recorded during the day. However, high temperature was less related to mosquito captures. More Cx. pipiens and Ae. aegypti preferred to stay in the cooler and more humid zone of the cage during the night and day, respectively (Kessler and Guerin 2008), and Cx. quinquefasciatus preferred to rest in cool, humid, shady areas such as water tanks, shade near puddles, and trees (Rios et al. 2006, Karlekar and Andrew 2016). In correspondence, we did not observe more mosquitoes at 1300, 1500, and 1700 h, where the temperature was higher than that of 0700 and 1100 h. We suspect mosquitoes were resting more during the highest RH, and for *Culex*, the highest resting counts were first thing in the morning, which was when the humidity was the highest. The time of the day (Fig. 2) shows that male and female Ae. aegypti arrived to resting habitat over the course of the morning. However, for Culex it is more complicated to interpret since they were already utilizing resting habitat during the first sampling period, and we are not sure how many actually arrived at the first sampling period (0700 h) versus how many arrived before (1900 to 0500 h). We also noted strong day-to-day mosquito variations indicating that other environmental factors influence shelter-seeking behavior, such as wind speed, cloud cover, and rain, which we did not consider in our analysis.

A limitation of this current study was that all wooden box traps were placed on a university campus away from residential homes, which means the results could be influenced by different proximity to larval and host-seeking habitat. We also collected very few Ae. albopictus and other mosquito species given that the traps were at the same collection site. Second, all traps are placed on the ground and placing resting habitat in tree canopies could have influenced the results, similar to how traps collecting host-seeking mosquitoes were different at ground level versus forest canopy (Andreadis and Armstrong 2007, Pereira-Silva et al. 2021). Third, we used hay infusion AGO traps to augment the resting box habitat. This odor and the increased humidity from the water might have attracted oviposition seeking females. We did not distinguish gravid from unfed female mosquitoes, which could have been done to distinguish the 2 behaviors. Fourth, additional environmental variables should be recorded to investigate additional abiotic and biotic factors that influence mosquito resting behavior.

The red wooden odor-baited box traps can be used efficiently for monitoring mosquitoes as other traps do for mosquito surveillance such as gravid traps (Reiter et al. 1986), light traps (Sudia and Chamberlain 1962), BG sentinel traps (Maciel-de-Freitas et al. 2006), and oviposition traps (Barbosa et al. 2007). This study may help guide sampling studies aimed at collecting blood-fed females for host-range studies (Estrada-Franco et al. 2020, Olson et al. 2020) and can improve vector surveillance and disease management programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Secretaría de Investigación y Posgrado–Instituto Politécnico Nacional (IPN) (SIP Nos.20220163 and 20201972) for financial support to N.A.F.-S. The article processing charge was funded by Texas A&M University, College Station. The funders did not support the study design, data collection, and their analysis. We thank the administrators of Centro de Biotecnología Geómica–IPN for providing facilities to deploy the red wooden box traps within the campus. Last, but not least, we also appreciate the support from Dr. Adebiyi Adeniran for designing the red wooden box traps.

REFERENCES CITED

- Andreadis TG, Armstrong PM. 2007. A two-year evaluation of elevated canopy trapping for *Culex* mosquitoes and West Nile virus in an operational surveillance program in the northeastern United States. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 23:137–148.
- Ant TH, Herd C, Louis F, Failloux AB, Sinkins SP. 2020. Wolbachia transinfections in *Culex quinquefasciatus* generate cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Insect Mol Biol* 29:1–8.
- Barbosa RMR, Souto A, Eiras AE, Regis L. 2007. Laboratory and field evaluation of an oviposition trap for *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 102:523–529.
- Barrera R, Amador M, Acevedo V, Caban B, Felix G, Mackay AJ. 2014. Use of the CDC autocidal gravid ovitrap to control and prevent outbreaks of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol* 51:145–154.
- Bøgh C, Pedersen EM, Mukoko DA, Ouma JH. 1998. Permethrin-impregnated bednet effects on resting and feeding behaviour of lymphatic filariasis vector mosquitoes in Kenya. *Med Vet Entomol* 12:52–59.
- Burkett-Cadena ND. 2013. Mosquitoes of the southeastern United States. Tuscaloosa, AL: Univ. of Alabama Press.
- Câmara DCP, Codeço CT, Ayllón T, Nobre AA, Azevedo RC, Ferreira DF, da Silva Pinel C, Rocha GP, Honório NA. 2022. Entomological surveillance of *Aedes* mosquitoes: comparison of different collection methods in an endemic area in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Trop Med Infect Dis* 7:114
- Campos EVR, de Oliveira JL, Abrantes DC, Rogério CB, Bueno C, Miranda VR, Monteiro RA, Fraceto LF. 2020. Recent developments in nanotechnology for detection and control of *Aedes aegypti*–borne diseases. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 8:102.

- Captain-Esoah M, Kweku Baidoo P, Frempong KK, Adabie-Gomez D, Chabi J, Obuobi D, Kwame Amlalo G, Balungnaa Veriegh F, Donkor M, Asoala V, Behene E, Adjei Boakye D, Dadzie SK. 2020. Biting behavior and molecular identification of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) subspecies in some selected recent yellow fever outbreak communities in northern Ghana. *J Med Entomol* 57:1239–1245.
- Casas Martínez M, Orozco Bonilla A, Muñoz Reyes M, Ulloa García A, Bond JG, Valle Mora J, Weber M, Rojas JC. 2013. A new tent trap for monitoring the daily activity of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*. J Vector Ecol 38:277–288.
- Chadee DD. 2013. Resting behaviour of *Aedes aegypti* in Trinidad: with evidence for the re-introduction of indoor residual spraying (IRS) for dengue control. *Parasit Vectors* 6:1–6.
- Chaiphongpachara T, Bunyuen P, Khlaeo Chansukh K. 2018. Development of a more effective mosquito trapping box for vector control. *Sci World J* 2018:1–8.
- Clé M, Beck C, Salinas S, Lecollinet S, Gutierrez S, Perre P Van de, Baldet T, Foulongne V, Simonin Y. 2019. Usutu virus: a new threat? *Epidemiol Infect* 147:e232.
- Cooperband MF, Allan SA. 2009. Effects of different pyrethroids on landing behavior of female Aedes aegypti, Anopheles quadrimaculatus, and Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol 46:292– 306.
- Dalpadado R, Amarasinghe D, Gunathilaka N, Ariyarathna N. 2022. Bionomic aspects of dengue vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus at domestic settings in urban, suburban and rural areas in Gampaha District, Western Province of Sri Lanka. Parasit Vectors 15:1–14.
- Dávalos-Becerril E, Correa-Morales F, González-Acosta C, Santos-Luna R, Peralta-Rodríguez J, Pérez-Rentería C, Ordoñez-Álvarez J, Huerta H, Carmona-Perez M, Díaz-Quiñonez JA, Mejía-Guevara MD, Sánchez-Tejeda G, Kuri-Morales P, González-Roldán JF, Moreno-García M. 2019. Urban and semi-urban mosquitoes of Mexico City: a risk for endemic mosquito-borne disease transmission. *PLoS One* 14:e0212987.
- del Carpio-Orantes L, del Carmen González-Clemente M, Lamothe-Aguilar T. 2018. Zika and its vector mosquitoes in Mexico. J Asia-Pac Biodivers 11:317–319.
- Diallo D, Diallo M. 2020. Resting behavior of Aedes aegypti in southeastern Senegal. Parasit Vectors 13:1–7.
- Diaz LA, Flores FS, Beranek M, Rivarola ME, Almirón WR, Contigiani MS. 2013. Transmission of endemic St Louis encephalitis virus strains by local *Culex quinquefasciatus* populations in Cordoba, Argentina. *Trans R* Soc Trop Med Hyg 107:332–334.
- Diouf B, Sene NM, Ndiaye EH, Gaye A, Ngom EHM, Gueye A, Seck F, Diagne CT, Dia I, Diallo M. 2021. Resting behavior of blood-fed females and host feeding preferences of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) morphological forms in Senegal. *J Med Entomol* 58:2467–2473.
- Dormont L, Mulatier M, Carrasco D, Cohuet A. 2021. Mosquito attractants. J Chem Ecol 47:351–393.
- Dzul-Manzanilla F, Ibarra-López J, Bibiano Marín W, Martini-Jaimes A, Leyva JT, Correa-Morales F, Huerta H, Manrique-Saide P, Vazquez-Prokopec GM. 2017. Indoor resting behavior of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Acapulco, Mexico. J Med Entomol 54:501–504.
- Edman J, Kittayapong P, Linthicum K, Scott T. 1997. Attractant resting boxes for rapid collection and

surveillance of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) inside houses. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 13:24–27.

- Estrada-Franco JG, Fernández-Santos NA, Adebiyi AA, López-López MJ, Aguilar-Durán JA, Hernández-Triana LM, Prosser SWJ, Hebert PDN, Fooks AR, Hamer GL, Xue L, Rodríguez-Pérez MA. 2020. Vertebrate-Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera)-arbovirus transmission networks: non-human feeding revealed by meta-barcoding and next-generation sequencing. PLOS Negl Trop Dis 14:e0008867.
- Fikrig K, Harrington LC. 2021. Understanding and interpreting mosquito blood feeding studies: the case of *Aedes albopictus. Trends Parasitol* 37:959–975.
- Fussell EM. 1964. Dispersal studies on radioactivetagged Culex quinquefasciatus Say. Mosq News 24:422–426.
- Gjenero-Margan I, Aleraj B, Krajcar D, Lesnikar V, Klobučar A, Pem-Novosel I, Kurečić-Filipović S, Komparak S, Martić R, Đuričić S, Betica-Radić L, Okmadžić J, Vilibić-Čavlek T, Babić-Erceg A, Turković B, Avsić-Županc T, Radić I, Ljubić M, Sarac K, Benić N, Mlinarić-Galinović G. 2011. Autochthonous dengue fever in Croatia, August–September 2010. Euro Surveill 16:19805.
- Gjullin CM, Mulhern DT, Husbands RC. 1963. The daily resting cycles of several species of mosquitoes. *Mosq News* 23:203–210.
- Gould EA, Gallian P, De Lamballerie X, Charrel RN. 2010. First cases of autochthonous dengue fever and chikungunya fever in France: from bad dream to reality! *Clin Microbiol Infect* 16:1702–1724.
- Hecht O, Hernandez-Corzo J. 1963. On the visual orientation of mosquitoes in their search of resting places 1. *Entomol Exp Appl* 6:63–74.
- Heersink DK, Meyers J, Caley P, Barnett G, Trewin B, Hurst T, Jansen C. 2016. Statistical modeling of a larval mosquito population distribution and abundance in residential Brisbane. *J Pest Sci* 89:267–279.
- Janaki MDS, Aryaprema VS, Fernando N, Handunnetti SM, Weerasena O, Pathirana P, Tissera HA. 2022. Prevalence and resting behaviour of dengue vectors, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in dengue high risk urban settings in Colombo, Sri Lanka. J Asia-Pac Entomol 25:101961.
- Juarez JG, Garcia-Luna S, Chaves LF, Carbajal E, Valdez E, Avila C, Tang W, Martin E, Barrera R, Hemme RR Mutebi JP, Vuong N, Roark EB, Maupin CR, Badillo-Vargas IE, Hamer GL. 2020. Dispersal of female and male *Aedes aegypti* from discarded container habitats using a stable isotope mark-capture study design in South Texas. *Sci Rep* 10:1–12.
- Karlekar S, Andrew R. 2016. Behavioral resilience of *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say 1823 at Nagpur district of Maharashtra. *Int J Mosq Res* 3:25–30.
- Kay BH. 1983. Collection of resting adult mosquitoes at Kowanyama, northern Queensland and Charleville, south-western Queensland. Aust J Entomol 22:19–24.
- Kessler S, Guerin PM. 2008. Responses of Anopheles gambiae, Anopheles stephensi, Aedes aegypti, and Culex pipiens mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) to cool and humid refugium conditions. J Vector Ecol 33:145–149.
- Kittayapong P, Linthicum KJ, Edman JD, Scott TW. 1997. Further evaluation of indoor resting boxes for *Aedes aegypti* surveillance. *Dengue Bull* 21:77–83.
- Lambrechts L, Scott TW, Gubler DJ. 2010. Consequences of the expanding global distribution of *Aedes albopictus*

for dengue virus transmission. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 4:e646.

- Lapointe DA. 2008. Dispersal of *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in a Hawaiian rain forest. *J Med Entomol* 45:600–609.
- Lindquist AW, Ikeshoji T, Grab B, Meillon B De, Khan ZH. 1967. Dispersion studies of *Culex pipiens* fatigans tagged with 32 P in the Kemmendine area of Rangoon, Burma. *Bull World Health Organ* 36:21–37.
- Liu J, Zhou J, Yao J, Zhang X, Li L, Xu X, He X, Wang B, Fu S, Niu T, Zhu W, Li S, Luo B, Zhang K. 2020. Impact of meteorological factors on the COVID-19 transmission: a multi-city study in China. *Sci Total Environ* 726:138513.
- Lwande OW, Obanda V, Lindström A, Ahlm C, Evander M, Näslund J, Bucht G. 2020. Globe-trotting *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*: risk factors for arbovirus pandemics. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis* 20:71–81.
- Machani MG, Ochomo E, Amimo F, Kosgei J, Munga S, Zhou G, Githeko AK, Yan G, Afrane YA. 2020. Resting behaviour of malaria vectors in highland and lowland sites of western Kenya: implication on malaria vector control measures. *PloS One* 15:e0224718.
- Maciel-de-Freitas R, Eiras ÁE, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R. 2006. Field evaluation of effectiveness of the BG-Sentinel, a new trap for capturing adult *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 101:321– 25.
- Manda H, Arce LM, Foggie T, Shah P, Grieco JP, Achee NL. 2011. Effects of irritant chemicals on *Aedes aegypti* resting behavior: is there a simple shift to untreated "safe sites"? *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 5:e1243.
- McDonald PT. 1977. Population characteristics of domestic Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Gulicidae) in villages on the Kenya coast II. Dispersal within and between villages. J Med Entomol 14:49–53.
- Medeiros MCI, Boothe EC, Roark EB, Hamer GL. 2017. Dispersal of male and female *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes using stable isotope enrichment. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 11:e0005347.
- Mexico Ministry of Health. 2022. *Historical epidemiological bulletin* [Internet]. Mexico City, Mexico: General Directorate of Epidemiology, Ministry of Health [accessed December 6, 2022]. Available from: https://www. gob.mx/salud/acciones-y-programas/historico-boletinepidemiologico.
- Mousson L, Zouache K, Arias-Goeta C, Raquin V, Mavingui P, Failloux AB. 2012. The native wolbachia symbionts limit transmission of dengue virus in *Aedes* albopictus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6:e1989.
- Muir LE, Kay BH. 1998. Aedes aegypti survival and dispersal estimated by mark-release-recapture in northern Australia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 58:277–282.
- Mutebi JP, Wilke ABB, Ostrum E, Vasquez C, Cardenas G, Carvajal A, Moreno M, Petrie WD, Rodriguez A, Presas H, Rodriguez J, Barnes F, Hamer GL, Juarez JG, Carbajal E, Vitek CJ, Estrada X, Rios T, Marshall J, Beier JC. 2022. Diel activity patterns of two distinct populations of *Aedes aegypti* in Miami, FL and Brownsville, TX. *Sci Rep* 12:1–9.
- Olson MF, Ndeffo-Mbah ML, Juarez JG, Garcia-Luna S, Martin E, Borucki MK, Frank M, Estrada-Franco JG, Rodríguez-Pérez MA, Fernández-Santos NA. Molina-Gamboa GJ, Carmona Aguirre SD, Reyes-Berrones BL, Cortés-De la Cruz LJ, García-Barrientos A, Huidobro-Guevara RE, Brussolo-Ceballos RM, Ramirez J, Salazar A, Chaves LF, Badillo-Vargas IE, Hamer GL. 2020.

High rate of non-human feeding by *Aedes aegypti* reduces zika virus transmission in South Texas. *Viruses* 12:453.

- Pates H, Curtis C. 2005. Mosquito behavior and vector control. Annu Rev Entomol 50:53–70.
- Pereira-Silva JW, Ríos-Velásquez CM, Lima GR, Marialva Dos Santos EF, Belchior HCM, Luz SLB, Naveca FG, Pessoa FAC. 2021. Distribution and diversity of mosquitoes and Oropouche-like virus infection rates in an Amazonian rural settlement. *PLoS One* 16:e0246932.
- Perich M, Davila G, Turner A, Garcia A, Nelson M. 2000. Behavior of resting *Aedes aegypti* (Culicidae: Diptera) and its relation to ultra-low volume adulticide efficacy in Panama City, Panama. *J Med Entomol* 37:541–546.
- Poletti P, Messeri G, Ajelli M, Vallorani R, Rizzo C, Merler S. 2011. Transmission potential of chikungunya virus and control measures: the case of Italy. *PLoS One* 6:e18860.
- Ponnusamy L, Xu N, Böröczky K, Wesson DM, Abu Ayyash L, Schal C, Apperson CS. 2010. Oviposition responses of the mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* to experimental plant infusions in laboratory bioassays. J Chem Ecol 36:709–719.
- Reiter P, Jakob WL, Francy DB, Mullenix JB. 1986. Evaluation of the CDC gravid trap for the surveillance of St. Louis encephalitis vectors in Memphis, Tennessee. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2:209.
- Rios J, Hacker CS, Hailey CA, Parsons RE. 2006. Demographic and spatial analysis of West Nile virus and St. Louis encephalitis in Houston, Texas. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 22:254–263.
- Sallam MF, Michaels SR, Riegel C, Pereira RM, Zipperer W, Lockaby BG, Koehler PG. 2017. Spatio-temporal distribution of vector-host contact (VHC) ratios and ecological niche modeling of the West Nile virus mosquito vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus*, in the city of New Orleans, LA, USA. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 14:892.
- Schoof HF. 1967. Mating, resting habits and dispersal of *Aedes aegypti. Bull World Health Organ* 36:600–601.

- Schreiber ET, Mulla MS, Chaney JD, Dhillon MS. 1988. Dispersal of *Culex quinquefasciatus* from a dairy in southern California. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 4:300– 304.
- Sudia WD, Chamberlain RW. 1962. Battery-operated light trap, an improved model. *Mosq News* 22:126–129.
- Tainchum K, Polsomboon S, Grieco JP, Suwonkerd W, Prabaripai A, Sungvornyothin S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Achee NL. 2013. Comparison of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) resting behavior on two fabric types under consideration for insecticide treatment in a push-pull strategy. *J Med Entomol* 50:59–68.
- Telang A, Skinner J. 2019. Effects of host blood meal source on reproductive output, nutrient reserves and gut microbiome of West Nile virus vector *Culex quinquefasciatus. J Insect Physiol* 114:15–22.
- Trpis M, Hausermann W. 1986. Dispersal and Other population parameters of *Aedes aegypti* in an African village and their possible significance in epidemiology of vector-borne diseases. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 35:1263–1279.
- Vasilakis N, Cardosa J, Hanley KA, Holmes EC, Weaver SC. 2011. Fever from the forest: prospects for the continued emergence of sylvatic dengue virus and its impact on public health. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 9:532–541.
- Wilke ABB, Vasquez C, Medina J, Carvajal A, Petrie W, Beier JC. 2019. Community composition and year-round abundance of vector species of mosquitoes make Miami-Dade County, Florida a receptive gateway for arbovirus entry to the United States. *Sci Rep* 9:8732. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-019-45337-2.
- Xu L, Stige LC, Chan KS, Zhou J, Yang J, Sang S, Wang M, Yang Z, Yan Z, Jiang T, Lu L, Yue Y, Liu X, Lin H, Xu J, Liu Q, Stenseth NC. 2017. Climate variation drives dengue dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:113– 118.
- Zuharah WF, Sumayyah A. 2019. Population abundance of *Aedes albopictus* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* in 24 hours cycle in residential areas, Penang using different trapping methods. *Serangga* 24:17–41.